

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/050,378		01/15/2002	Chienchung Chang	PA020077	6174	
23696	7590	01/19/2005		EXAMINER		
Qualcom	m Incor	porated	HARPER, V PAUL			
Patents De 5775 Mor	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
San Diego	, CA 9	2121-1714	2654			
				DATE MAILED: 01/19/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2		Applicat	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
(4)		10/050,	378	CHANG ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examine	ər	Art Unit				
	·	V. Paul	·	2654				
Perio	The MAILING DATE of this communic od for Reply	cation appears on ti	ne cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
٦	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commulif the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states are the specified above, the maximum states are specified above.	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no equication.) days, a reply within the stutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the apply and will apply apply and will apply a	event, however, may a repl atutory minimum of thirty (; will expire SIX (6) MONTH oplication to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	1.			
State	us							
1	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on						
	•		action is non-final.					
3	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disp	osition of Claims							
5 6 7	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	e withdrawn from c						
Appl	lication Papers							
ç	P)⊠ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.		·				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b	ı)∏ objected to by	the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any object		-					
11	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t) The oath or declaration is objected to	,			i).			
Prio	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
12	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of the priority of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action	documents have be documents have be if the priority docum nal Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in App nents have been re ule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage				
Attacl	nment(s)							
1) 🛛	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			nmary (PTO-413)				
3) 🔲	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P Paper No(s)/Mail Date			Mail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
2 Dates	t and Trademark Office							

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: --Interactive Speech Recognition Apparatus with Conditioned Voice Prompts--.

2. The disclosure is objected to because the term "voice recognition" is used for what nowadays is called—speech recognition—in the speech signal processing art.

While "voice recognition" and "speech recognition" were once used interchangeably, these days the terms must be strictly distinguished. For, the term "voice recognition" now denotes identification of who is doing the speaking, while "speech recognition" (or "word recognition") denotes identification of what is being said. So, appropriate correction to the proper terms of art is required.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 1, 4, 6, 7, 10, 13, 15, 16, 19 and 20 are objected to because the phrase "voice recognition" should be replaced by –speech recognition—(see ¶2, above).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2654

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Johnston (International Publication Number WO 98/24225), hereinafter referred to as Johnston.

Regarding **claim 1**, Johnston discloses an interactive voice response apparatus capable of distinguishing between a user's incoming voice and outgoing conditioned voice prompts (title). Johnston's apparatus includes the following:

- a voice prompt generator configured for generating voice prompt in a first frequency band (p. 6, lines 10-15; p. 3, lines 3-12; a preconditioned [filtered] recorded speech signal, where the lacking frequency component comprises one or more potions of the frequency spectrum);
- a speech detector configured for detecting presence of speech energy in a second frequency band, wherein said first and second frequency bands are essentially conjugate frequency bands (p. 6, line 31 through p. 7, line 9; Fig. 1, INPUT SIGNAL ANALYZER, item 21; if the signal in the passband exceeds a threshold then a signal [USER Y/N] is generated indicating the presence of a user's voice, where the passband includes the range of frequencies filtered out of the voice prompts [i.e., conjugate, see Figs. 4A, 4B, and 4C]).

Art Unit: 2654

Regarding **claim 2**, Johnston teaches everything claimed, as applied above (see claim 1); in addition, Johnston teaches the use of "a voice data generator for generating voice data based on an output of said voice prompt generator and audible speech of a voice response generator" (p. 6, lines 10-27; Fig. 1, item 28; the message generator outputs the messages and responses to the user, see Fig. 2).

Regarding **claim 3**, Johnston teaches everything claimed, as applied above (see claim 1); in addition, Johnston teaches the use of "a control signal for controlling said voice prompt generator based on whether said speech detector detects presence of speech energy in said second frequency band" (p. 7, line 1-14; Fig. 1, items 23 [USER Y/N], 30, and 28; p. 2, lines 24-26; as soon as the user's response is detected the outputted voice signals [prompts] are stopped).

Regarding **claim 4**, Johnston teaches everything claimed, as applied above (see claim 1); in addition, Johnston teaches the use of "a back end of said interactive voice recognition system configured to operate on an extracted front end voice feature based on whether said speech detector detects presence of speech energy in said second frequency band" (p. 7, lines 10-14; when the signal indicating a user's voice is present the speech recognizer attempts to recognize the current word being spoken, Fig. 1, **SPEECH RECOGNIZER)**.

Art Unit: 2654

Regarding **claim 5**, Johnston teaches everything claimed, as applied above (see claim 1); in addition, Johnston teaches "said first and second frequency bands include a plurality of conjugate frequency bands" (p. 3, lines 10-12; the lacking component comprises one or <u>more</u> portions of the frequency spectrum; see Figs. 4A-C for illustration of conjugate frequency bands).

Regarding **claim 6**, Johnston discloses an interactive voice response apparatus and method capable of distinguishing between a user's incoming voice and outgoing conditioned voice prompts (title). Johnston's method includes the following steps:

- filtering output of a voice prompt generator in accordance with a first frequency band (p.6, lines 10-27, Fig. 1, items 26 and 27; p. 3, lines 3-12; a preconditioned [filtered] recorded speech signal, where the lacking frequency component comprises one or more potions of the frequency spectrum);
- controlling said output of said voice prompt generator based on detection of a presence of speech energy in a second frequency band, wherein said first and second frequency bands are essentially conjugate frequency bands (p. 6, line 31 through p. 7, line 9; Fig. 1, INPUT SIGNAL ANALYZER, item 21; if the signal in the passband exceeds a threshold then a signal [USER Y/N] is generated indicating the presence of a user's voice, where the passband includes the range of frequencies filtered out of the voice prompts [i.e., conjugate, see Figs. 4A, 4B, and 4C]).

Art Unit: 2654

Regarding **claim 7**, Johnston teaches everything claimed, as applied above (see claim 6); in addition, Johnston teaches "operating a back end of said interactive voice recognition system based on said detection of said presence of speech energy in said second frequency band" (p. 7, lines 10-14; when the signal indicating a user's voice is present the speech recognizer attempts to recognize the current word being spoken, Fig. 1, **SPEECH RECOGNIZER**).

Regarding **claim 8**, Johnston teaches everything claimed, as applied above (see claim 6). In addition, Johnston teaches "generating voice data based on an output of said voice prompt generator and audible speech of a voice response generator" (p. 7, lines 10-25; Fig. 2, illustrates a dialog with prompts [output of voice prompt generator ...] and responses [voice data], "wherein said detection of said presence of speech energy in said second frequency band is based on processing of said voice data" (p. 7, lines 1-9; the signal analyzer detects speech [voice data] and generates a "user present" signal).

Regarding **claim 9**, Johnston teaches everything claimed, as applied above (see claim 6); in addition, Johnston teaches "said first and second frequency bands include a plurality of conjugate frequency bands" (p. 3, lines 10-12; the lacking component comprises one or <u>more</u> portions of the frequency spectrum; see Figs. 4A-C for conjugate frequency bands).

Art Unit: 2654

Regarding **claim 10**, this claim has limitations similar to claim 1 and is rejected for the same reasons.

Regarding **claim 11**, this claim has limitations similar to claim 2 and is rejected for the same reasons.

Regarding **claim 12**, this claim has limitations similar to claim 3 and is rejected for the same reasons.

Regarding **claim 13**, this claim has limitations similar to claim 4 and is rejected for the same reasons.

Regarding **claim 14**, this claim has limitations similar to claim 5 and is rejected for the same reasons.

Regarding **claim 15**, this claim has limitations similar to claim 6 and is rejected for the same reasons.

Regarding **claim 16**, this claim has limitations similar to claim 7 and is rejected for the same reasons.

Art Unit: 2654

Regarding **claim 17**, this claim has limitations similar to claim 8 and is rejected for the same reasons.

Regarding claim 18, this claim has limitations similar to claim 9 and is rejected for the same reasons.

Regarding **claim 19**, Johnston discloses an interactive voice response apparatus capable of distinguishing between a user's incoming voice and outgoing conditioned voice prompts (title). Johnston's apparatus includes the following:

- a voice prompt generator configured for generating voice prompt in a first frequency band (p. 6, lines 10-15; p. 3, lines 3-12; a preconditioned [filtered] recorded speech signal, where the lacking frequency component comprises one or more potions of the frequency spectrum);
- a speech detector configured for detecting presence of speech energy in a second frequency band, wherein said first and second frequency bands are essentially conjugate frequency bands (p. 6, line 31 through p. 7, line 9; Fig. 1, INPUT SIGNAL ANALYZER, item 21; if the signal in the passband exceeds a threshold the a signal is generated indicating the user's voice, where the passband includes the range of frequencies filtered out of the voice prompts [i.e., conjugate, see Figs. 4A, 4B, and 4C]);
- a voice data generator for generating voice data based on an output of said voice
 prompt generator and audible speech of a voice response generator (p. 6, lines 10-27;

Art Unit: 2654

Fig. 1, item 28; the message generator outputs the messages and responses to the user, see Fig. 2);

• a control signal for controlling said voice prompt generator based on whether said speech detector detects presence of speech energy in said second frequency band (p. 7, line 1-14; Fig. 1, items 23 [user y/n], 30, and 28; p. 2, lines 24-26; as soon as the user's response is detected the outputted voice signals [prompts] are stopped).

Regarding **claim 20**, this claim has limitations similar to claim 4 and is rejected for the same reasons.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnston in view of Weerackody et al. (U.S. Patent 6,760,699), hereinafter referred to as Weerackody.

Regarding **claim 21**, Johnston teaches everything claimed, as applied above (see claim 19). In addition, Johnston teaches the following:

Art Unit: 2654

• an extracted front end voice feature, based on whether said speech detector detects presence of speech energy in said second frequency band (p. 7, lines 10-14; if user speech is detected speech recognition is attempted; Fig. 1, items 21, 23, and 22);

• to a back end of an interactive voice recognition system configured to operate on said extracted front end voice feature (Fig. 1, SPEECH RECOGNIZER).

But Johnston does not specifically teach the "means for providing a wireless communication link to a base station to communicate" to a back end. However, the examiner contends that this concept was well known in the art, as taught by Weerackody.

In the same field of endeavor, Weerackody discloses a distributed wireless recognition system for use over wireless channels (title). Weerackody teaches the extraction for speech features in a wireless device (Fig. 1, item 11; col. 4, lines 12-21, lines 46-50) and the [back end] recognition of the speech in a base station (Fig. 1, item 19; col. 5, lines 5-10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Johnston by specifically providing the features, as taught by Weerackody, because it is well known in the art at the time of invention that the computational complexity of performing speech recognition in a wireless device can be prohibitive (col. 1, lines 50-55).

Art Unit: 2654

Citation of Pertinent Art

6. The following prior art made of record but not relied upon is considered pertinent to the applicant's disclosure:

- Johnson et al. (U.S. Patent 5,155,760) discloses a voice messaging system with voice activated prompt interrupt.
- Nguyen (U.S. Patent 6,061,651) discloses an apparatus that detects voice energy during prompting by a voice recognition system.
- Schalk et al. (U.S. Patent 5,475,791) discloses a method for recognizing a spoken word in the presence of interfering speech.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to V. Paul Harper whose telephone number is 703 305-4197. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil can be reached on 703 305-9645. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

1. Paul Horper

Art Unit: 2654

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

V. Paul Harper Examiner Art Unit 2654 01/12/2005